Operations Research I

Lecture I: Simplex Algorithm

to accompany

Operations Research: Applications and Algorithms by Wayne L. Winston

Prepared by Fatih Cavdur

How to Convert an LP to Standard Form

- We have seen that we can solve LPs with 2 or 3 variables graphically.
- What if it has more?
- To solve larger LPs, we can use the simplex algorithm.
- We can use the simplex algorithm to solve LPs with thousands of variables and constraints.

How to Convert an LP to Standard Form

- We have seen that an LP can have both equality and inequality constraints.
- It also can have variables that are required to be non-negative, non-positive and unrestricted in sign (urs).
- Before the simplex algorithm can be used to solve an LP, the LP must be converted into an equivalent problem in which all constraints are equations and all variables are nonnegative.
- Such an LP is said to be in standard form.

How to Convert an LP to Standard Form (Example)

Leather Limited manufactures two types of belts: the deluxe model and the regular model. Each type requires 1 sq. yd. of leather. A regular belt requires 1 hour of skilled labor, and a deluxe belt requires 2 hours. Each week, 40 sq. yd. of leather and 60 hours of skilled labor are available. Each regular belt contributes \$3 to profit and each deluxe belt, \$4. If we let

 $x_1 = #$ of deluxe belts produced weekly

 $x_2 = #$ of regular belts produced weekly

How to Convert an LP to Standard Form (Example)

Corresponding LP is given as

$$\max z = 4x_1 + 3x_2$$

How to Convert an LP to Standard Form (Example)

For each \leq constraint, to convert them to equations, we add a nonnegative slack variable s_i and obtain the following LP in standard form:

$$\max z = 4x_1 + 3x_2$$

How to Convert an LP to Standard Form (Another Example)

Consider the following LP:

$$\max z = 20x_1 + 15x_2$$

$$x_1 \leq 100$$

$$x_2 \leq 100$$

$$50x_1 + 35x_2 \leq 6,000$$

$$20x_1 + 15x_2 \geq 2,000$$

$$x_1 , x_2 \geq 0$$

How to Convert an LP to Standard Form (Another Example)

• In such cases where we have a \geq constraint, we use a non-negative excess variable, e_i , to convert the inequality to an equation.

 $\max z = 20x_1 + 15x_2$

Assume that we are given an LP with *n* variables and *m* constraints in standard form. If it is a max problem, we have

$$\max z = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n$$

If we let the following:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix}$$

We have a system of linear equations with *n* variables and *m* equations as follows:

Ax = b

Definition: Basic Solution

Assume that we have a system of linear equations with n variables and m equations (assume $n \ge m$) as follows:

Ax = b

A basic solution to the system is obtained by setting n - m variables equal to 0 and solving for the remaining m variables.

This assumes that setting the n - m variables equal to 0 yields unique values for the remaining m variables, i.e., the columns for the remaining m variables are linearly independent.

Definition: Basic Feasible Solution

Any basic solution to the following system in which all variables are non-negative is said to be a basic feasible solution (BFS):

Ax = b

Theorem:

A point in the feasible region of an LP is an extreme point if and only if it is a basic feasible solution to the LP.

$$\max z = 4x_1 + 3x_2$$

The standard form of the LP is

$$\max z = 4x_1 + 3x_2$$

The feasible region of the LP is shown below:



Extreme Points of the LP are points B, C, E and F with coordinates B (0, 40); C (30, 0); E (20, 20) and F (0, 0), respectively.

Basic	Non-Basic	$[x_1$, x ₂ , s	$[s_1, s_2]^7$	7	Extreme Point
Variables	Variables					
<i>x</i> ₁ , <i>x</i> ₂	<i>s</i> ₁ , <i>s</i> ₂	20	20	0	0	E
<i>x</i> ₁ , <i>s</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂ , <i>s</i> ₂	30	0	10	0	С
<i>x</i> ₁ , <i>s</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₂ , <i>s</i> ₁	40	0	0	- 20	Not a BFS
<i>x</i> ₂ , <i>s</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₁ , <i>s</i> ₂	0	60	- 20	0	Not a BFS
x_{2}, S_{2}	<i>x</i> ₁ , <i>s</i> ₁	0	40	0	20	В
<i>S</i> ₁ , <i>S</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₁ , <i>x</i> ₂	0	0	40	60	F

Basic Feasible Solutions (BFSs) and Extreme Points

- We can show that any BFS is an extreme point.
- Sometimes more than one set of basic variables may correspond to an extreme point. If this is true, then, we say the LP is degenerate.
- We can also show that if an LP has an optimal solution, then, it has a BFS that is optimal. To explain it, we need to define the concept of the direction of unboundedness.

Direction of Unboundedness

Consider an LP in standard form. A non-zero vector **d** is said to be a direction of unboundedness if, for all $\mathbf{x} \in S$ and any $c \ge 0$,

$\mathbf{x} + c\mathbf{d} \in S$

It means, if we are in the feasible region, then, we can move as far as we want in the direction \mathbf{d} and still remain in the feasible region.

Direction of Unboundedness (Example)

$$\min z = 50x_1 + 100x_2$$

$$7x_1 + 2x_2 - e_1 = 28$$

$$2x_1 + 12x_2 - e_2 = 24$$

$$x_1 , x_2 , e_1 , e_2 \ge 0$$

Direction of Unboundedness (Example)



If we start at any feasible point and move up and to the right at a 45-degree angle, we will remain in the feasible region. Hence, $\mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 9 & 14 \end{bmatrix}$ is a direction of unboundedness for the LP. We can show that \mathbf{d} is a direction of unboundedness if and only if $\mathbf{Ad} = 0$ and $\mathbf{d} \ge \mathbf{0}$.

Direction of Unboundedness

Theorem:

Consider an LP in standard form with BFSs $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_k$. Any point \mathbf{x} in the LPs feasible region may be written as follows where \mathbf{d} is $\mathbf{0}$ or direction of unboundedness

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d} + \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \sigma_i \mathbf{b}_i$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i = 1$ and $\sigma_i \ge 0$.

Direction of Unboundedness

 If the LPs feasible region is bounded, then, we have d = 0, and may write that

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i \mathbf{b}_i$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i = 1$.

 In this case, any feasible point x may be written as a convex combination of the LP's BFSs.

Why does an LP have an optimal BFS?

Theorem:

If an LP has an optimal solution, then, it has an optimal BFS.

Why does an LP have an optimal BFS?

Proof:

Let **x** be an optimal solution.

We may then write $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i \mathbf{b}_i$ where \mathbf{d} is $\mathbf{0}$ or direction of unboundedness and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i = 1$ and $\sigma_i \ge 0$.

If $\mathbf{cd} > \mathbf{0}$, then, for any k > 0, $k\mathbf{d} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i \mathbf{b}_i$ is feasible, and as k increases, z approaches to infinity which contradicts the fact that the LP has an optimal solution.

If $\mathbf{cd} < \mathbf{0}$, then, the feasible point $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i \mathbf{b}_i$ has a larger z value than \mathbf{x} which contradicts its optimality.

We have thus shown that if **x** is optimal, then, $\mathbf{cd} = \mathbf{0}$. Hence, we can write $z = \mathbf{cx} = \mathbf{cd} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i \mathbf{cb}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i \mathbf{cb}_i$.

Adjacent BFSs

Definition: Adjacent BFSs

For any LP with m constraints, two BFSs are said to be adjacent BFSs if the two BFSs have m - 1 basic variables in common.

General Description of the Simplex Algorithm

Step 1) Find a BFS to the LP. We refer to this as the initial BFS.

Step 2) Determine if the current BFS is an optimal solution to the LP:

- (a) If it is STOP.
- (b) If it is not, then, find an adjacent BFS that has a better objective function value.

Step 3) Return to Step (2) using the new BFS as the current BFS.

General Description of the Simplex Algorithm

For an LP with *n* variables and *m* constraints, we may find at most

$$\binom{n}{m} = \frac{n!}{(n-m)!\,m!}$$

basic solutions some of which might be infeasible (not all BFSs). By enumerating these solutions to find the optimal for instance for an LP with 20 variables and 10 constraints, we need to consider $\binom{20}{10} =$ 184,756 solutions.

Fortunately, we have the simplex algorithm which can usually find the optimal solution after examining fewer than 3m BFSs.

Step 1) Convert the LP to standard form.

Step 2) Obtain a BFS.

Step 3) Determine if the current BFS is optimal. If it is, then, STOP.

Step 4) If the current BFS is not optimal, determine which non-basic variable (NBV) should become a basic variable (BV) and which BV should become a NBV to find a BFS with a better objective function value.

Step 5) Find the new BFS using elementary row operations (EROs) and go back to Step (3).

$$\max z = 60x_1 + 30x_2 + 20x_3$$

$8x_1$	+	$6x_2$	+	x_3	\leq	48
$4x_{1}$	+	$2x_{2}$	+	$1.5x_{3}$	\leq	20
$2x_{1}$	+	$1.5x_2$	+	$0.5x_{3}$	\leq	8
		x_2			\leq	5
<i>x</i> ₁	,	x_2	,	<i>x</i> ₃	\geq	0

We first convert the LP to standard form:

$$\max z = 60x_1 + 30x_2 + 20x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow z - 60x_1 - 30x_2 - 20x_3 = 0$$

We can express the LP as follows:

Ζ	_	60 <i>x</i> ₁		30 <i>x</i> ₂		20 <i>x</i> ₃									=	0
		$8x_1$	+	$6x_2$	+	x_3	+	S_1							=	48
		$4x_1$	+	$2x_2$	+	$1.5x_{3}$			+	<i>S</i> ₂					=	20
		$2x_1$	+	$1.5x_2$	+	$0.5x_{3}$					+	<i>S</i> ₃			=	8
				<i>x</i> ₂									+	S_4	=	5

Here, we see that $s_1 = 48$, $s_2 = 20$, $s_3 = 8$, $s_4 = 5$, and $x_i = 0$, for all *i*.

The above form is called as the canonical form.

Is the current BFS optimal?

Currently, we have the BVs and NBVs as follows:

 $B = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$ and $N = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Now, consider the objective function; max $z = 60x_1 + 30x_2 + 20x_3$.

What happens if you change x_1 from 0 to 1? Will it improve the objective function? What about x_2 and x_3 ? Which is better if we want to increase the value of the objective function?

In a max problem, it is the NBV with the most negative coefficient in row 0. What about in a min problem?

Entering Variable

- Since it increases z by 60 units with a unit increase, we choose to enter x₁ as the entering variable. But how large can it be?
- Note that increasing x₁ changes the values of BVs which may cause some of them being negative.
- Hence, we can increase x₁ as long as none of the other BVs become negative as follows:

From the first constraint, we can only increase x_1 so that

$$8x_1 + 6x_2 + x_3 + s_1 = 8x_1 + s_1 = 48 \Rightarrow 8x_1 \le 48 \Rightarrow x_1 \le 6$$

By checking for the other constrains, we obtain that

- From the 1st constraint, $x_1 \le \frac{48}{8} = 6$
- From the 2nd constraint, $x_1 \le \frac{20}{4} = 5$
- From the 3rd constraint, $x_1 \le \frac{8}{2} = 4$
- From the 4th constraint, $x_1 < \infty$

Hence,
$$x_1 = \min\left\{\frac{48}{8}, \frac{20}{4}, \frac{8}{2}, \infty\right\} = 4$$

Pivoting Row, Pivot Column and Pivot Term

Ζ	_	$60x_1$		$30x_2$	_	$20x_{3}$									=	0
		$8x_1$	+	$6x_2$	+	χ_2	+	S1							=	48
		$4x_1$	+	$2x_2$	+	$1.5x_3$	•	51	+	<i>S</i> ₂					=	20
		$2x_1$	+	$1.5x_2$	+	$0.5x_{3}$					+	<i>S</i> ₃			=	8
				<i>x</i> ₂									+	<i>S</i> ₄	=	5

	Z	<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	x_3	<i>S</i> ₁	<i>S</i> ₂	<i>S</i> ₃	S_4	RHS	
Z	1	- 60	- 30	- 20	0	0	0	0	0	
<i>S</i> ₁	0	8	6	1	1	0	0	0	48	
<i>S</i> ₂	0	4	2	1.5		1	0	0	20	
<i>S</i> ₃	0	2	1.5	0.5	0	0	1	0	8	
<i>S</i> 4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	

Initial Simplex Tableau

 x_1 enters, s_3 leaves



New Pivot Row (Row 3):

Current Objective Row (Row 0):

New Objective Row (Row 0):







1st Iteration

	Z	<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	<i>s</i> ₁	<i>S</i> ₂	<i>S</i> ₃	S_4	RHS	
Z	1	0	15	- 5	0	0	30	0	240	
<i>S</i> ₁	0	0	0	-1	1	0	-4	0	16	
<i>S</i> ₂	0	0	-1	0.5	0	1	- 2	0	4	
x_1	0	1	0.75	0.25	0	0	0.5	0	4	
S_4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	

 x_3 enters, s_2 leaves

2nd Iteration

	Z	<i>x</i> ₁	x_2	<i>x</i> ₃	<i>s</i> ₁	<i>S</i> ₂	<i>S</i> ₃	<i>S</i> ₄	RHS	
Z	1	0	5	0	0	10	10	0	280	
<i>S</i> ₁	0	0	- 2	0	1	2	- 8	0	24	
x_3	0	0	- 2	1	0	2	-4	0	8	
x_1	0	1	1.25	0	0	- 0.5	1.5	0	2	
S_4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	

Optimal!

The Simplex Algorithm (for a minimization example)

$$\max z = 2x_1 - 3x_2$$

Initial Simplex Tableau

	Z	x_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>S</i> ₁	<i>S</i> ₂	RHS	
Z	1	- 2	3	0	0	0	
<i>S</i> ₁	0	1	1	1	0	4	
<i>S</i> ₂	0	1	-1	0	1	6	

 x_2 enters, s_1 leaves

Initial Simplex Tableau

	Z	x_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>s</i> ₁	<i>S</i> ₂	RHS	
Z	1	- 5	0	-3	0	- 12	
<i>x</i> ₂	0	1	1	1	0	4	
<i>S</i> ₂	0	2	0	1	1	10	

Optimal!

Using the Simplex Algorithm to Solve Minimization Problems

Note that we can always use the following transformation and solve the transformed problem:

$$\min z = -\max(-z)$$

or

 $\max z = -\min(-z)$

End of Lecture To be continued... 😳